

# Academic Integrity and Restorative Practices

ICAI Webinar

Paul Sopcak, Ph.D.

[sopcakp@macewan.ca](mailto:sopcakp@macewan.ca)



# Outline

## Theory

- Academic Integrity and post-secondary's civic education mandate
- What are Restorative Practices (RP)?
- Persistent myths surrounding RP and RJ
- Q&A

## Application

- MacEwan University's application of RP to promote academic integrity and respond to academic misconduct
- Common concerns
- Q&A

# Academic Integrity and Post-Secondary's Civic Education Mandate

- PSI's increasing focus on fostering civic responsibility, engaged citizenship, and ethical decision making in students (Boyte, 2015; Jorgensen & Shultz, 2012)
- Student success is defined in terms of academic *and* citizenship skills
- Restorative Practices afford experiential learning opportunities related to moral development, emotional intelligence, and engaged citizenship (e.g., Karp & Sacks, 2014).
- To compare, see student perceptions of standard quasi-legal processes (Pitt, Dullaghan, & Smith, 2020)

# Defining Restorative Practices (RP)

- Umbrella term, including Restorative Justice
- “Restorative justice is a process to involve, to the extent possible, those who have a stake in a specific offense and to collectively identify and address harms, needs, and obligations, in order to heal and put things as right as possible.” (Zehr, 2003, p. 40).

# RP/RJ As Community- & Integrity-Building Tool

- Four underlying principles (Karp, 2019, p. 9):
  - inclusive decision making
  - active accountability
  - repairing harm
  - rebuilding trust
- RP to promote fundamental values of academic integrity (ICAI, 2021): honesty, fairness, trust, respect, responsibility, & courage

# Persistent Myths Surrounding RJ/RP

## RJ/RP

- *Myth 1*: is primarily about forgiving the wrongdoer and their reintegration
- *Myth 2*: is an easy way out for offenders/allows them to shirk responsibility
- *Myth 3*: is mainly focused on reducing recidivism
- *Myth 4* : is another form of mediation (see Zehr, 2003, p. 6, for debunking)
- *Myth 5*: might be OK for less serious offenses, but not for serious ones
- *Myth 6*: is much more time and resource intensive than quasi-legal, model code procedures
- *Myth/Contentious Issue*: RJ/RP are appropriation of Indigenous legal practices (see Chartrand & Horn, 2018, for an excellent discussion)

# Restorative Practices at MacEwan University

- To promote student success
- To promote faculty buy-in
- To create a community of integrity
- Restorative resolution an option for academic and non-academic misconduct, *if conditions are met*:
  - No risk for further harm
  - Student takes responsibility, is willing to explore harms and repairs for those harms (no "option shopping")
  - Voluntary participation by student and harmed parties (or proxies)
  - Signed privacy statements

# Restorative Responses to Misconduct

- ... characterized by:
- “A focus on HARMES and consequent NEEDS of those affected;
- Addressing OBLIGATIONS that result from those harms;
- Using inclusive, COLLABORATIVE processes;
- Involving those with a legitimate stake in the situation; and
- Seeking to REPAIR harms and put right the wrongs to the extent possible.” (Zehr, 2003, p. 33)



# Restorative Questions 1 – Responsible Party

- *What happened?*
- *What were you thinking of at the time?*
- *What have you thought about since?*
- *Who has been affected by what you have done?*
- *In what way have they been affected?\**
- *What do you think are the obligations resulting from your action?\**  
*What are appropriate consequences?\** *What do you think could be put in place to ensure it doesn't happen again?\**

(Questions marked with an asterisk\* have been added to or slightly modified from Wachtel's (2016, p. 7))

# Restorative Questions 2 – Harmed Parties

- *What did you think when you realized what had happened?*
- *What impact has this incident had on you and others?*
- *What has been the hardest thing for you?*
- *What do you think needs to happen to make things right? What are appropriate consequences?\** *What do you think could be put in place to ensure it doesn't happen again?\**

(Questions marked with an asterisk\* have been added to or slightly modified from Wachtel's (2016, p. 7))

# Outcomes

- Focus on fairness and consistency
- Not identical to outcomes of quasi-legal, disciplinary process, but equitable
- Can be a mix of sanctions, educational, and restorative activities (e.g., developing (anonymous) action plans, resource sheets, reflective papers, value statements, educational material, etc.)
- Binding (not appealable)

# Summary

- RP are a tool for post-secondary institution to pursue the loftier, civic & ethical education goals found in mission and vision statements
- RP connect academic integrity work to the institutions strategic goals
- RP are an effective integrity- and community-building tool
- RP can assist with faculty buy-in in addressing academic misconduct
- RP cannot replace quasi-legal procedures, but should be considered as the default approach

# Thoughts on Participating in RP Resolution

- Short interviews with MacEwan faculty members and Students' Association member:

<https://streaming.macewan.ca/channel/Restorative%2BPractices/155819242>

# References

- Boyte, H. C. (Ed.). (2015). *Democracy's education: public work, citizenship, & the future of higher education*. Vanderbilt University Press.
- Chartrand, L. N., Horn, K., Canada, & Department of Justice. (2018). *A report on the relationship between restorative justice and Indigenous legal traditions in Canada*. Department of Justice Canada. <https://www.deslibris.ca/ID/10099014>
- International Center for Academic Integrity (ICAI). (2021). *The fundamental values of academic integrity* (3rd ed.). <https://www.academicintegrity.org/fundamental-values/>
- Jorgenson, S., & Shultz, L. (2012). Global citizenship education (GCE) in post-secondary institutions: What is protected and what is hidden under the umbrella of GCE? *Journal of Global Citizenship & Equity Education*, 2(1).
- Karp, D. R. (2019). *The little book of restorative justice for colleges and universities: Repairing harm and rebuilding trust in response to student misconduct*, 2nd edition. Good Books.
- Karp, D. R., & Sacks, C. (2014). Student conduct, restorative justice, and student development: Findings from the STARR project: a student accountability and restorative research project. *Contemporary Justice Review*, 17(2), 154–172. doi: 10.1080/10282580.2014.915140
- Pitt, P., Dullaghan, K., & Sutherland-Smith, W. (2020). 'Mess, stress and trauma': Students' experiences of formal contract cheating processes. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*, 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02602938.2020.1787332>
- Wachtel, T. (2016). *Defining Restorative*. *International Institute for Restorative Practices*. Retrieved from [https://www.iirp.edu/images/pdf/Defining-Restorative\\_Nov-2016.pdf](https://www.iirp.edu/images/pdf/Defining-Restorative_Nov-2016.pdf)
- Zehr (2003). *Little book of restorative justice*. Good Books.